

Fallowfield,
Manor Close,
Manor Road,
Penn,
Buckinghamshire,
England.

18th January, 1982.

Professor Mario Bunge,
McGill University,
Foundations and Philosophy of
Science Unit,
3479 Peel Street,
Montreal,
Quebec,
Canada H3Y 2J7.

My dear Mario,

Many thanks for sending me your new book. I greatly appreciate that you wished to keep me informed of your criticism.

I find your criticism incredibly weak. Not one of my arguments is taken up, and countered. This comes, no doubt, from your sincere conviction that no arguments can exist which I can offer in support of my theory. But such arguments do exist.

Of course, I am most anxious to hear counter arguments. But only those who take my arguments seriously (if only for argument's sake) can produce counter arguments. It is one of the great disappointments of my life that you do not do this. I shall not enquire into the reasons. I am sure you could produce very interesting counter arguments, if only you would take the trouble. Please do!

Yours ever,

Karl.

Karl

P.S. If you are interested, you can have the list of passages from The Logic of Scientific Discovery in which objective knowledge is contrasted with subjective knowledge.

Look only of the section titles of sections 2, 8, 27. Or at the last paragraph on p 30. There seem to be hundreds of places.

Handwritten notes at the top of the page, including the word "Lecture" and some illegible text.

Handwritten notes in the upper middle section of the page.

Handwritten notes on the right side of the page, possibly a list or a set of definitions.

Handwritten notes in the middle section of the page, consisting of several lines of text.

Handwritten notes in the lower middle section of the page, appearing as a dense block of text.

Handwritten notes at the bottom of the page, including the word "Conclusion" and some illegible text.

Inv 82720
G 362