

Dear Professor Bunge,

Thank you ever so much for sending me a copy of your excellent book, Metascientific Queries. There is a tremendous amount of interesting material and of sound sense in this book. So far I have been able only to find views with which I agree. (Not that this is important — there can be disagreement, ^{not only} between friends, but also between thinkers who have the highest opinion of each other's objections, and of their fertility and usefulness of these objections.) I truly believe that this is an extremely good book.

One criticism: p. 237. I am not



Profesor Mario Bunge
 Facultad de Filosofía y Letras
 Universidad de Buenos Aires
 Via Monte A.30,
 Buenos Aires
 Argentina

← Second fold here →

Sender's name and address: K.R. Popper, Fallowfield,
 Manor Road, PENN, Buckinghamshire
 England

AN AIR LETTER SHOULD NOT CONTAIN ANY
 ENCLOSURE; IF IT DOES IT WILL BE SURCHARGE
 OR SENT BY ORDINARY MAIL.

← cut here →

← First fold here →

at all convinced that Popper's new is
 properly clarified on falling under
 your (III.2). For I thought that Popper's
 new was about the same as mine. But
 of 1934. But
 my new, certainly, does not fall under (III.2).
 I agree the new that Popper's formula
 'can even speak about "imperfect knowledge"
 However, this does not seem to me an
 important point of criticism, in the total
 your mine
 K.R. Popper

En 82641
 06 283