November 10, 1959

Professor Karl R. Popper London

Dear Professor Popper:

In Venice I became acquainted with Professor Melvil of the University of Moscow, who seemed to me the most open-minded of the members of the Soviet delegation. We havengaged in a long discussion on formal truth and other problems and, in the course of our correspondence, I mentioned your work, recommending him to read The Logic of Sci. Discovery. He replied that he did not like your Open Society, which he apparently knew, but that he was interested in getting a copy of the Logik. I suggested him to address himself to the publishers, since he may wish to review the book. Moreover, there is a slight chance that he may favor the translation of the work; at least, I know that he is interested in Western philosophy and that he isiinfluential in this respect. This is why I took the liberty of making a defence of your work in terms that may appeal to him, and is also why I am now taking the liberty of suggesting you to send him a copy of the Logik, or of asking the publishers to comply to Prof. Melvil's request if he makes it. I think one should do every possible effort to establish a dialogue with those willing to discuss in scholarly terms, and I am sure you partake this conviction. Prof. George Melvil's address is, Faculty of Philosophy, University of Moscow, 11 Mokhovaia, Moscow.

Sincerely yours,

Mario Bunge

ovenher 10, 1959

Professor Mari S. Popper

Dane Professor Penings

In Venice I because adquainted with ireference Network of the unders of the University of Memory, who resembly to no the most brun-minded of the underse of the Soriet delegation. We havenged in a long discussion on formal truth and other problems and, in the course of our correspondence, formal truth and other problems and, in the course of our correspondence, age, He replied that he did not lake your Open Society, which he happenent by Incorrectly her to be not the happenent of the best her to address himself to the publishers, ednes he may wish to account the book. Moreover, there is a slight chart, ednes he may wish to the tomater that be not favor the book. Moreover, there is a slight chart that he may favor the book the liberty of making a defence of, our task he is interested any out to the liberty of making a defence of, our task in toria that may you to send him a copy of the lightly, we at sutting the publishers to consequent to true, and it as suce you particle this one cheart to request it he make it. I think one cheart a consequent he effect to centrally berne, and I am sure you particle this converte to make the first converte to a stabilish a though to the course of the later, heaven in their decrease in clarify bernes, and I am sure you particle this converte to stabilish a though it of theorem in clarify bernes, and I am sure you particle this converte to stabilish of Philosophy, University of Mostew, II

linnerely yours,

Marke Dungs